I. Call to Order:
Meeting was called to order at: 9:03 A.M.
Members Present: 7
Voting Members 6
Non-scientists 1

Quorum was not met, Attendance was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members</th>
<th>In Person</th>
<th>Via Vtel</th>
<th>Absent</th>
<th>Absent with notice</th>
<th>Voting Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tim Buckner (chair)(NS)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Foxx</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shari Hoppin (NS)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenda Avery</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gina Mariano</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Peden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eddie Clark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Pritchett</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Hammonds</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Caldarola</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dionne Rosser-Mims</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Abbey</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan R. DuBose (NI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Taylor (NS)(NI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet McNellis (HPA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS: Non-scientist member / NI: Non-institutional member

III. Chair Comments on IRB Productivity
a. Report on Applications: Annual
   Total  50
   Expedited 37
   Exempt 2
   Full Review 6
   Pending 3
   Cancelled 1
   Other University Approval 1
   Student 5
   Faculty 14
   Student/Faculty 31
There were no adverse events, unanticipated problems, or protocol violations/deviations that arose over the period.

IV. Electronic Vote
   Because quorum was not met and students need approval for current semester studies, it was suggested and agreed upon that suggestions from the meeting will be reviewed through email and an electronic vote will be taken in a timely manner.

V. Conflict of Interest
   Dr. Hammonds has a conflict of interest with the studies up for full review because he is part of the study.

VI. Review of Research Proposals
   a. Dr. Frank Hammonds gives overview of Applications

   9:22 Marshall Carter (application attached)
      How attachments styles affect those with PTSD
      ▪ The attachment survey is a published survey.
      ▪ The PTSD survey was created by the student.
      ▪ The survey is online and skips non-applicable question.
      ▪ Student would consider altering survey to only have student participants and not veterans.

   9:28 Mandy Colburn (application attached)
      The Effects of Video Games on College Students' Memory
      ▪ There is profanity in the last video game; however, in the computer lab where the video game will be played there aren’t speakers. The only way to hear the profanity is through headphones.

   9:30 Michael Davis (application attached)
      Daily Habits and Decision Making
      ▪ Study involves three things: answering question(s) about good or bad luck, answering question(s) about washing hands or what they had to eat, and answering business decision questions

b. Question for Dr. Hammonds

   9:32 Q: Regarding the selection of participants, are the students recruited from all classes? Or the classes the student is currently enrolled in?

      A: Carter-email to all students; Colburn-going to various classes with permission from professor and asking for volunteers; Davis-will change to email to all students (possibly using surveygizmo)

   9:36 Dr. Hammonds steps out (he does not return to the meeting)
c. Discussion

9:36 Discussion Begins

9:36 Marshall Carter
- There is concern about the attachment survey and the effects on veterans who may take it. An opt out is suggested.
- Researcher should obtain permission from VA clinic to survey veterans before survey is given.
- Researcher should consider dropping veteran portion of study for now in order to meet semester deadline. Researcher could later expand survey after obtaining permission letter for veterans. Surveying TROY students will still capture military students.
- It was noted that confidentiality is easier to maintain if only students are used, rather than veterans as well.
- If Researcher chooses to not survey veterans all wording referring to veterans in the application should be changed or removed as needed. Method of recruitment is one area where wording would need to change. Change combat wording to stressful event.
- It was noted that counseling information is included on the first page of the survey. It was suggested that this information also be included on last page, especially if participant cannot go back to first page to view information, and also to include a more generic crisis line than the Troy hotline.

9:45 Mandy Colburn
- There should be an opt out in case someone finds the video games too offensive. The informed consent wording should be changed to reflect more clearly the ability to quit. It was suggested that after playing each game the participant would be asked if they would like to continue.
- It was noted that while there was a violent video game, the level of violence was appropriate for survey purposes.
- Researcher should inform participant that playing video games may cause stress.

9:51 Michael Davis
- Counseling contact information should be provided.
- It was suggested that an opt out be provided for participants.

9:53 Discussion Ends:

VII. Other Business
There will not be another meeting in March.
Next Meeting is April 19, 2012.

VIII. Adjourn
Meeting ends at 9:56 A.M.